## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Goldsby, Shavotnae	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 07-05604MMC
v.  Adecco, Inc. and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive  Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process:  ✓ Private ADR (please identify proce	ess and provider) The parties agree to mediate
with Mark Rudy of Rudy Exelrod & Zieff on or before June 30, 2008.	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proce	dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline June 30, 20	08
Dated: 2/8/68	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: Z/8/2008	Attorney for Defendant

## <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation (private mediation)
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other June 30, 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:\_February 12, 2008

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DISTRICT